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**교과목 일정:**

**제1주(3/5): 미완의 사회변동**

\*Cotter, David, Joan M. Hermsen and Reeve Vanneman. 2011. “The End of the

Gender Revolution? Gender Role Attitudes from 1977 to 2008” *American Journal of Sociology* 117(1): 259-89.

\*Goldin, Claudia. 2006. “The Quiet Revolution That Transformed Women's Employment,

Education, and Family.” *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 96, No. 2, pp. 1-21

**제2주(3/12) 근대화의 특성**

\* *Encyclopedia Britannica.* ”Modernization” https://www.britannica.com/topic/modernization.

\*Cotter, David, Joan M. Hermsen and Reeve Vanneman. 2011. “The End of the

Gender Revolution? Gender Role Attitudes from 1977 to 2008” *American Journal of Sociology* 117(1): 259-89.

**제3주(3/19) 저출산 이론, 결혼과 출산**

\*은기수. 2015. “인구와 가족: 가족의 인구학적 접근” 김혜경 등. 『가족과 친밀성의

사회학』. 다산출판사. Pp. 54-91.

\*Mills, Melinda, Ronald R. Rindfuss, Peter McDonald, Egbert te Velde, on behalf of ESHRE

Reproduction and Society Task Force. 2011. “Why do people postpone parenthood? Reasons and social policy incentives,” *Human Reproduction Update* 17(6): 848–860.

\*Balbo, Nicoletta, Francesco C. Billari, Melinda Mills. 2013. “Fertility in Advanced Societies:

A Review of Research”. *European Journal of Population*. 29:1–38.

\*Kohler, Hans-Peter, Francesco C. Billari and Jose Antonio Ortega. 2002. “The Emergence

of Lowest-Low Fertility in Europe during the 1990s” *Population and Development Review* 28(4): 641-680.

\*Blau, Francine D., Lawrence M. Kahn and Jane Waldfogel. 2000. “Understanding Young

Women's Marriage Decisions: The Role of Labor and Marriage Market Conditions.” *ILR Review*, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 624-647

**제4주(3/26) 한국의 저출산현상은 어느 국가와 비슷할까?**

**\* 경향신문. 2019년 기획특집. 다시 쓰는 인구론.**

\*Jones, Gavin W. 2007. “Delayed Marriage and Very Low Fertility in Pacific Asia” *Population and Development Review*, 33(3): 453-478.

\*Potančoková, Michaela, Boris Vaňo, Viera Pilinská, and Danuša Jurčová. 2008. “Slovakia:

Fertility between tradition and modernity” *Demographic Research:* Volume 19, Article 25. Pp. 973-1017.

\*Oláh, Livia Sz., and Eva M. Bernhardt. 2008. “Sweden: Combining childbearing and gender

equality” *Demographic Research:* Volume 19, Article 28. Pp. 1105-1143.

**제5주(4/2) 일본과 중국의 저출산**

\*Leta Hong Fincher. 2018. “China Dropped Its One-Child Policy. So Why Aren’t Chinese

Women Having More Babies?” *New York Times*. February 20, 2018.

\*Myers, [Steven Lee](http://www.nytimes.com/by/steven-lee-myers) and Olivia Mitchell Ryan. 2018. “Burying ‘One Child’ Limits, China

Pushes Women to Have More Babies” *New York Times*. August 21, 2018.

\*Li Yuan. 2018. “China’s Consumption Downgrade: Skip Avocados, Cocktails and Kids.”

*New York Times*. August 22, 2018.

\*Claire Cain Miller. 2018. “Americans Are Having Fewer Babies. They Told Us Why” *New*

*York Times*. July 28, 2018.

* **학생들 각자 일본과 중국의 저출산에 관한 논문을 찾아 읽고, 한국와 일본, 한국과 중국을 비교하면서 발표**

**제6주(4/9) 한국의 근대화와 교육**

\* Sorensen, Clark W. 1994. “Success and Education in South Korea” *Comparative*

*Education Review,* 38(1). Special Issue on Schooling and Learning in Children's Lives, pp. 10-35.

\*은기수, 문현아. 2016. “한국 근대화 시기의 성공, 희생과 수면” 『사회와 역사』

112집. 겨울. Pp. 347-383.

\*Stevenson, David Lee and David P. Baker. 1996. “Shadow Education and Allocation in

Formal Schooling: Transition to University in Japan.” *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 97, No. 6, pp. 1639-1657.

\*Tan, Poh Lin, S. Philip Morgan, and Emilio Zagheni. 2016. “A Case for ‘‘Reverse One-

Child’’ Policies in Japan and South Korea? Examining the Link Between Education Costs and Lowest-Low Fertility” *Population Research and Policy Review* 35:327–350.

**제7주(4/16) 일, 여성의 일, 일과 가정의 양립**

\*강이수. 2015. “일-생활 균형과 가족” 김혜경 등. 「가족과 친밀성의 사회학」. 다산

출판사. Pp.290-312

\*은기수. 2018a. “한국 기혼 여성의 일의 연쇄와 출산력” 『한국인구학』. 41(1): 79-108

\*은기수. 2018b. “한국 여성의 경제활동참여와 경력단절” 『한국인구학』. 41(2): 117-150.

\*Goldin, Claudia. 2006. “The Quiet Revolution That Transformed Women's Employment,

Education, and Family.” *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 96, No. 2, pp. 1-21

\*Blau, Francine D., Lawrence M. Kahn and Jane Waldfogel. 2000. “Understanding Young

Women's Marriage Decisions: The Role of Labor and Marriage Market Conditions.” *ILR Review*, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 624-647

\*Claire Cain Miller. 2018. “Children Hurt Womenʼs Earnings, but Not Menʼs (Even in

Scandinavia) *New York Times*. February 5, 2018.

**제8주(4/23) 중간고사**

**제9주(4/30) 호주제의 폐지**

\*Cotter, David, Joan M. Hermsen and Reeve Vanneman. 2011. “The End of the

Gender Revolution? Gender Role Attitudes from 1977 to 2008” *American Journal of Sociology* 117(1): 259-89.

\*양현아. 2011. 『한국가족법 읽기』. 창비.

“제8장 호주제도 폐지, 그 산을 옮기다” pp. 312-358.

\*호주제란 무엇인가?

\*호주제 폐지를 위해 어떤 노력이 기울여져 왔는가.

**제10주(5/7) 가족법 개정의 방향**

\*Cotter, David, Joan M. Hermsen and Reeve Vanneman. 2011. “The End of the

Gender Revolution? Gender Role Attitudes from 1977 to 2008” *American Journal of Sociology* 117(1): 259-89.

\*양현아. 2011. 『한국가족법 읽기』. 창비.

“제11장 가족법 개정의 방향 읽기” pp. 469-520”

“제12장 맺음말 그리고 여는 말” pp. 521-534

**제11주(5/14) 자녀 성선호의 변화 1**

\*Claire Cain Miller. 2018. “Americans Might No Longer Prefer Sons Over Daughters” *New*

*York Times*. March 5, 2018.

\*Raley, Sara, and Suzanne Bianchi. 2006. “SONS, DAUGHTERS, AND FAMILY PROCESSES: Does Gender of Children Matter.” *Annual Review of Sociology*. 32:401–21.

\*Morgan, S. Philip, Diane N. Lye and Gretchen A. Condran. 1988. “Sons, Daughters, and the

Risk of Marital Disruption.” *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 94, No. 1, pp. 110-129.

\*Pollard, Michael S. and S. Philip Morgan. 2002. “Emerging Parental Gender Indifference?

Sex Composition of Children and the Third Birth.” *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 67, No. 4, pp. 600-613.

**제12주(5/21)** **자녀 성선호의 변화 2**

\*Guilmoto, Christophe Z. 2009. “The Sex Ratio Transition in Asia.” *Population and*

*Development Review*, 35(3): 519–549.

\*Chung, Woojin and Monica Das Gupta. 2007. “The Decline of Son Preference in South

Korea: The Roles of Development and Public Policy” *Population and Development Review*, 33(4): 757-783.

\*Yoo, Sam Hyun, Sarah R. Hayford, Victor Agadjanian. 2017. “Old Habits Die Hard?

Lingering Son Preference in an Era of Normalizing Sex Ratios at Birth in South Korea”

*Population Research and Policy Review*. 36(1):25–54

\*Boer, Andrea, Valerie Hudson. 2017. “Patrilineality, Son Preference, and Sex Selection in

South Korea and Vietnam” *Population and Development Review* 43(1): 119–147

\*Ahmed, Sania Sultan and Sally Bould. 2004. “"One Able Daughter Is Worth 10 Illiterate

Sons": Reframing the Patriarchal Family” *Journal of Marriage and Family*, Vol. 66, No. 5, Special Issue: International Perspectives on Families and Social Change, pp. 1332-1341.

\*Claire Cain Miller. 2018. “Americans Might No Longer Prefer Sons Over Daughters” *New*

*York Times*. March 5, 2018.

**제13주(5/28) K-Pop, K-Drama, K-Beauty, 근대화와 Hallyu**

\*The Economist. 2014. “Soap, sparkle and pop”

\*Martin Roll. 2016. “Korean Wave (Hallyu) – The Rise of Korea’s Cultural Economy &

Pop Culture”

\*Lie, John. 2012. “What Is the K in K-pop? South Korean Popular Music, the Culture

Industry, and National Identity.” KOREA OBSERVER, Vol. 43, No. 3, Autumn 2012, pp. 339-363.

\*Kwon, Seung-Ho, and Joseph Kim. 2014. “The cultural industry policies of the Korean

government and the Korean Wave.” *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 422–439.

\*Jang, Gunjoo, and Won K. Paik. 2012. “Korean Wave as Tool for Korea’s New Cultural

Diplomacy.” *Advances in Applied Sociology*, Vol.2, No.3, pp. 196-202.

**제14주(6/4) 한류 Identity와 Image**

\*Sung, Sang-Yeon. 2010. “Constructing a New Image. Hallyu in Taiwan” *EJEAS* 9.1: 25-45.

\*Kim, Sujeong. 2009. “INTERPRETING TRANSNATIONAL CULTURAL PRACTICES.

Social discourses on a Korean drama in Japan, Hong Kong, and China.” *Cultural Studies* Vol. 23, Nos. 5\_6, pp. 736\_755.

\*Marinescu, Valentina, and Ecaterina Balica. 2013. “Korean Cultural Products in Eastern

Europe: A Case Study of the K-Pop Impact in Romania” *Region: Regional Studies of Russia, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia*, Volume 2, Number 1, pp. 113-135.

\*Jung, Sun and Doobo Shim. 2014. “Social distribution: K-pop fan practices in Indonesia and

the ‘Gangnam Style’ phenomenon” *International Journal of Cultural Studies* 17(5) 485–501.

\*Touhami, Batoul, Fawwaz Al-Abed Al-Haq. 2017. “The Influence of the Korean Wave on

the Language of International Fans: Case Study of Algerian Fans” *Sino-US English Teaching*, Vol. 14, No. 10, pp. 598-626.

\*Yang, Jonghoe. 2012. “The Korean Wave (Hallyu ) in East Asia: A Comparison of Chinese,

Japanese, and Taiwanese Audiences Who Watch Korean TV Dramas” *DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIETY* Volume 41, Number 1, 103-147.

**제15주(6/11) 기말 고사**

\*\* **프로젝트(제출 마감 6월 19일)**:

1. KOSIS에서 통계를 찾아, 다음 현상을 Excel을 이용해서 표와 그림을 그리고 간단히 설명하시오. 통계를 정리한 Excel 파일과, 1)-3)의 내용을 토대로 어떤 변화가 있었는지 정리해서 제출하시오.

http://kosis.kr/statisticsList/statisticsListIndex.do?menuId=M\_01\_01&vwcd=MT\_ZTITLE&parmTabId=M\_01\_01

1. 한국의 출산력 (total fertility rate)의 변화
2. 1990-2015까지 성별, 5세 연령별 혼인상태의 변화
3. 한국의 남녀 초혼연령의 변화 및 출산연령의 변화
4. 한국 여성의 초혼연령과 첫 아이 출산연령 차이의 변화

2. 한국의 근대화 및 저출산, 그리고 저출산의 원인에 관해 연구하고, research paper를 쓰시오.

1) 한국의 저출산을 설명할 수 있는 이론으로 적절한 이론이 무엇인지 논의해보시오.

2) 한국의 저출산이 심화되어 온 과정을 논의하시오.

3) 한국의 저출산 원인은 무엇이라고 생각하는지 논의해보시오.

` 4) 한국의 저출산을 해결하기 위해서는 어떤 방안이 필요한지 논의해보시오. (single space, 폰트 12, 그림과 표, 참고문헌 포함 최대15 페이지**)**